

**The Anti-Castilianist Credo
of Judezmo Journalist Hizkia M. Franco (1875-1953)**

David M. Bunis
The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

1. Nineteenth-century maskilic dissatisfaction with Judezmo: The Castilianization gambit

During the first three centuries following the expulsions of the Jews from Iberia at the end of the fifteenth century, the rabbis of their Judezmo-speaking descendants in the Ottoman Empire expressed displeasure over having to write for the masses “en lengua ajena a nós” (Almosnino 13a),¹ that is, in the Jews’ Ibero-Romance, rather than in their Holy Tongue, Hebrew (see Bunis 2011a). But, overall, the rabbis found no fault with the *quality* of the Judezmo in which they spoke and wrote. The Ottoman Sephardic satisfaction with Judezmo waned only in the first half of the nineteenth century when – at first, under the influence of the linguistic purism advocated by adherents of the Jewish Haskalah movement in German-speaking lands,² and later, in light of the criticism of Judezmo by European non-Jews³ – some Levantine Sephardic intellectuals began to look askance at Judezmo as being a ‘corrupt, mixed jargon’,⁴ and proposed replacing it with modern Castilian.

During the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries two of the most influential Hebrew-letter Judezmo periodicals published in the Ottoman Empire were *El Tiempo* (Constantinople, 1871-1930) and *El Telégrafo* (Constantinople, 1878-1931).⁵ On 28 Sivan 5646 (1 July 1886) David Fresco, editor of *El Tiempo*, published an article entitled “Un pueblo mudo” in *El Telégrafo*. In the article, and in subsequent articles by Fresco, the Ottoman Jews’ everyday language was accused of being a “jerigonza

¹ For other Jewish references to Ottoman Jewish Ibero-Romance, or Judezmo, as a ‘foreign language’, see Bunis (2011a).

² For example, in a prefatory remark in German to Yisrael Bejar Hayim’s Ladino translation of *Hojmat Yehošua‘ ben Sirá* (Vienna, 1818), its publisher described the translation as being “in gemischter spanisch türkischer Sprache mit hebräischen Lettern” ([i]b). See the scholarly edition by Romero. On Haskalah purism and Yiddish see, for example, Wisse (6-7).

³ For instance, the Spanish historian José Amador de los Ríos (1818-1878) remarked (479) that “... el lenguaje usado por los judíos españoles fuera de la península ibérica, no pudo menos de admitir extraños elementos que en parte contribuían a desfigurarlo”, and added (479, n. 10) that “Nuestro querido amigo don J. Heriberto García de Quevedo [...] hace relación de los judíos de Smyrna, manifestando que hablan un castellano harto corrompido...”.

⁴ E.g., “... el español corrompido que hablamos ...” (*La Época* 4:155 [Salonika, 1878], 3); “nuestro lenguaje corrompido [...] nuestra jerga” (“Sitrí Halevi”, *El Tiempo* 22:27 [1894], 293); “jargón español” (*La verdad* 2 [Sofia, 1900], 36); “este jargón judeo español del cual servimos [...] esta mescolatina que hablamos” (*La Época* 25:1229 [1900], 1-2), “jargón koromrido” (*La Época* 26:1288 [1901], 4).

⁵ On *El Tiempo* see Gaon 1965, no. 110; on *El Telégrafo*, *ibid.*, no. 115; and see their entries in the *Bibliography of the Hebrew Book* (<http://www.hebrew-bibliography.com>).

(jargón, sefat ‘ilegim)’’ (*El Tiempo* 29:62 [1901], 676), a “lingua corrompida” (*El Tiempo* 29:63 [1901], 688), and he urged them to replace it.

In various places in his writings, Fresco rejected modern Castilian as a suitable replacement, both on sentimental and pragmatic grounds:

La lingua de Torquemada [...] no joga ningún rolo en el movimiento intelectual y comercial... La lingua español, mismo si él [=el judeo-otomano] la escribe como el más illustre escritor de España, no le es de utilidad en la lucha por la existencia. (*El Tiempo* 29:63 [1901], 690)

To facilitate the integration of the empire’s Jews within the broader Ottoman society, Fresco advised them instead to adopt Turkish, and to familiarize themselves with the commercially advantageous languages of Europe:

No somos “judeós⁶ españoles” si no que judeós otomanos que conservaron la lingua española... Debríamos adoptar como lingua madre la lingua de nuestro país [...] y cultivar también las lingüas de las grandes naciones del mundo, el inglés, el alemán y el francés. (*El Tiempo* 32:77 [1904], 343)

However, in the language he himself used in *El Tiempo*, Fresco sometimes substituted characteristic Judezmo grammatical constructions and lexemes which diverged from modern Spanish, or were of non-Romance origin, with their literary Castilian analogues. Some Ottoman Sephardic journalists saluted such modifications. But others took exception to it, insisting that the basic character of Judezmo – or *judeo-español*, as most of the westernized journalists called it – be retained as the basis for a gradually evolving, modernized and independent idiom.

⁶ As an addition to Hassán’s system of transcription of Sephardic texts written in Hebrew letters, the character ḥ will be used in passages from the periodicals *El tiempo*, *El telegrafo* and *La Boz de Oriente* to denote the textual character *dálet* + diacritic <‘d>, employed in those papers to represent the Judezmo phoneme /ð/, which is always fricative, e.g., זְנוּזִיּוֹן ‘judeós’. The character <d> will be used in passages from all Hebrew-letter sources to denote the phoneme /d/ (always occlusive [d]) when the Spanish reader would otherwise tend to interpret it as [ð], e.g., אַדְוֵפְטָאָר *adoptar*. For orthographic simplicity, unmarked <d> will be used in passages from all Hebrew-letter sources to denote occlusive /d/ ([d]) when agreeing with Spanish (e.g., אַנְגָּלָה *cuando*), as well as to denote fricative /ð/ agreeing with Spanish in passages from the periodical *El comercial* and from Franco’s 1924 anthology, *Impresiones y reflexiones*, in which the grapheme *dálet*+diacritic was not used: e.g., זְנוּזִיּוֹס זְנוּזִיּוֹס *judeós* (= [zu'ðjos]). In accordance with Hassán’s system, syntactic phonetics are not considered here (e.g., סֻמוֹז זְנוּזִיּוֹס *somos judeós* = ['somoz zu'ðjos]), and <v> always has fricative value.

2. Hizkia M. Franco (1875-1953)

2.1 Career as journalist and communal leader

One of the more articulate opponents of the proposal to Castilianize Judezmo was Hizkia (Hiázquiyá) M. Franco, who aired his views on the subject in Hebrew- and Roman-letter Judezmo newspapers he edited, as well as in other Judezmo periodicals.⁷ Born in Rhodes in 1875, Franco had studied both religious and secular subjects, and received more advanced education in Constantinople. His father headed a yeshivah and was awarded a major rabbinical appointment in Jerusalem (1911-1917). Franco established himself in Izmir and engaged in Judezmo journalism. He was listed (in volumes 13-15) as the administrative manager of the Izmir Hebrew-letter Judezmo periodical *El Nuvelista* (1891-1922), edited by Yaakov Algranti.⁸ In 1905 Franco founded and edited a Hebrew-letter Judezmo news and views periodical in Izmir entitled *El Comercial*,⁹ in which his brothers Gad and Leví also played a role.¹⁰ The periodical ceased publication at the end of 1909. Franco also assumed important leadership roles in the Jewish communities in which he resided. He and his family had connections with, and held leadership positions in, the Jewish community of Milas, Turkey (Çolak 245).¹¹ In 1917 Franco served as head of the Jewish community of Izmir.

After re-establishing himself in the Island of Rhodes, Franco dedicated himself to Jewish communal service there too, and served as the head of the Jewish community (1925-1936). In Rhodes Franco edited the Turkish-language newspaper *Selam*, and in 1928 founded a short-lived Judezmo communal news periodical printed in the Latin alphabet entitled *Buletino Mensual de la Comunidad Israelita*, in which he was listed as “director responsable”.¹² In 1934 he initiated another Latin-letter Judezmo paper in

⁷ On Hizkia M. Franco see Gaon 1938: 506 (based partially on *La Boz de Oriente* no. 42 [Istanbul, 24 August 1931]); Franco 1994: 11; and Lévy (89-93), containing Franco's Judezmo poem “The Deportation”. On Franco's role in the Judezmo press see Levi, and Romero (1992, 181, 194, 214 and 216).

⁸ On *El Nuvelista* see Gaon 1965, no. 201, and its entry in the *Bibliography of the Hebrew Book*.

⁹ On *El Comercial* see Gaon 1965, no. 251, and the relevant entry in the *Bibliography of the Hebrew Book*.

¹⁰ Gad Franco was a partner in the publication of *El Comercial*, and also contributed to Judezmo and Turkish papers in Izmir and Constantinople (Romero 1992, 181, 193). A supporter of political Zionism early in his career, Gad Franco sympathized with the Modern Hebrew movement. He expressed hostility toward Spanish, and advocated the replacement of Judezmo by Hebrew, Turkish or French (Pulido 107). Gad Franco received a law degree in Paris and developed a successful law practice in Istanbul (Gaon 1965, no. 251). He suffered severely during the period of the *varlık vergisi*, but was honored by the Turkish Ministry of Public Education in a ceremony held at the University of Istanbul in 1948 (*La Boz de Türkiye* 10:212 [15 October 1948], 85).

¹¹ See also http://www.turkofamerica.com/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=558&Itemid=174.

¹² On the *Buletino Mensual* see its entry in the *Bibliography of the Hebrew Book*.

Rhodes, this time calling it *El Boletin: Órgano Mensual de la Comunidad Israelita*; it continued publication for about three years.¹³ Recognized as a major figure in the Rhodes Jewish community, Franco was called upon by the Italian government to serve as an advisor on Jewish matters. In addition to writing in his own papers, Franco was a local correspondent for the Istanbul Judezmo periodical *La Boz de Oriente* (1931-1939),¹⁴ in which he was referred to as an “excelente amigo” of the editorial board, and for its sequel, *La Boz de Türkiye* (1939-1949).¹⁵ In these papers he reported primarily on the Jewish community of Rhodes.

In 1944 the German occupying forces issued Franco an exit permit to leave Cos. He set out for Turkey, but the Turkish authorities refused him entry because he had renounced his Turkish citizenship in 1924 in favor of Italian citizenship, and thus he was deported to Palestine, where he remained until 1946. In a poetic series entitled <“En margen de la Biblia: El libro de Iyov”>, published in *La Boz de Türkiye* in 1948 (9:204 [1 June 1948], 354; 205 [15 June 1948], 371),¹⁶ Franco expressed his despair over the destruction of European Jewry, including his native Rhodes community.

Franco spent his last years in the Belgian Congo and in Salisbury, Southern Rhodesia. He wrote about his African experiences in <“Impresiones de viaje”>, published in *La Boz de Türkiye* in 1948 (9:205 [15 June 1948], 368-369).¹⁷ A romantic to the end, Franco described his sorrow at being in Africa after a life spent mostly in the ‘magic East’:

<Yo me siento aqui aislado y sufro de la nostalgia del magico Oriente. Yo so, como una planta que, arrancada de su suelo natal, es transplantada sobre un terreno que no es el suyo, que no le es ni propio, ni propicio. Aqui yo no respiro mas aquel aire embalsamado, en aquella atmosfera pura. Yo so lejos del clima vivificante onde me engrandeci, del “medio magico” onde fue elevado, es desir que yo me siento alejado de tantos queridos, de tantos caronales amigos y es por esto que yo digo con Yehuda Halevi, nuestro gran poeta nacional, *Im ani bemaarav, libi bemizrah*, que si mi persona es ahora aqui en el occidente [sic] africano, mi alma resta siempre entre los recuerdos fascinadores del Oriente lejano.>

¹³ On *El Boletin* see Gaon 1965, no. 60, and the relevant entry in the *Bibliography of the Hebrew Book*. It is a pleasure to thank Dr. Dov Cohen of the Ben-Zvi Institute Library for helping me consult this periodical, and for calling my attention to articles in other Judezmo periodicals relevant to the present study.

¹⁴ On *La Boz de Oriente* see Gaon 1965, no. 48, and its entry in the *Bibliography of the Hebrew Book*.

¹⁵ On *La Boz de Türkiye* see Gaon 1965, no. 54; and the entry in the *Bibliography of the Hebrew Book*. A copy of issues from 1948 was kindly made available to me by Stephen Levy.

¹⁶ Citations from Judezmo sources in the Latin alphabet are enclosed within angular <> brackets.

¹⁷ See also Franco’s <En la Congregación Judía de Leopoldville>, *La Boz de Türkiye* 10:210 (1948), 54-55.

In July 1948, in reaction to the establishment of the State of Israel on 14 May 1948, Franco published a serialized Judezmo adaptation of Dante Lattes' *Il sionismo* (Rome 1928) in *La Boz de Türkiye*.¹⁸ He memorialized the Holocaust victims of his native community in *Martyrs juifs de Rhodes et de Cos* (1952).¹⁹ Hizkia Franco died in Salisbury in 1953. Franco had been married to Sol (née Alhadeff) and had six children.

In his periodical *El Comercial*, as in his subsequent papers, Hizkia Franco's key role was to report the local mercantile and Jewish communal news, which filled the periodicals' first few pages. But on the remaining pages of the papers Franco often voiced his opinions on a variety of communal affairs. In *El Comercial*, Franco encouraged others, including his brother, Gad, and friends such as Rafael Amato, to do so as well. Sometimes the *Comercial* writers initiated the topics; at other times they reacted to topics raised in articles published in other Judezmo papers, such as *La buena esperanza* of Izmir, and *El Tiempo* of Istanbul. Perhaps fearing personal reprisals for some of his views, Franco usually published them under pseudonyms, especially "El fantaśista", "Aquel", and in the case of his poems, "El chico poeta". In 1924 Franco republished some 40 of his Judezmo essays and poems in a 173-page collection entitled *Impresiones y reflexiones*.²⁰ It was printed in Izmir (although the title-page says Rhodes) and dedicated to Rafael Amato.

2.2 Hizkia Franco's Opposition to the Castilianization of Judezmo

Off and on from the mid-nineteenth century, the Levantine Sephardic 'language question' caught the attention of journalists. One of the sparks which rekindled discussion of the question in the early twentieth century was the 1905 publication in Madrid of Spanish senator Angel Pulido's *Españoles sin patria: La raza sefardi*. In the work, much of which centered on the language used in contemporaneous Sephardic communities, Pulido (146) argued that "no hay un lenguaje que pueda llamarse con propiedad judezmo, como idioma de los sefardim, sino que hay un castellano viejo corrompido, adulterado con muchas y distintas alteraciones". Before publishing his book, Pulido had established contact with Sephardic leaders throughout the Ottoman Empire and beyond. He invited them to 're-enter' the Hispanic world and even consider immigrating to Spain, and he urged them to replace their 'corrupt Old Castilian' with modern normative Spanish. In his book, Pulido reported on the reactions of the Sephardic intelligentsia to his proposals. While many had respectfully declined his suggestions, Pulido informed the reader, some were attracted to the Castilianization proposal. One of them was Yosef/Joseph (later, José) M. Estrugo of

¹⁸ <La historia del Sionismo, adaptado por Hizkia M. Franco>, *La Boz de Türkiye* 9:206 (15 July 1948), 385-386; 9:207 (1 August 1948), 14; 10:209 (1 September 1948), 46-47; 10:210 (15 September 1948), 61; 10:213 (1 November 1948), 11.

¹⁹ The work was translated into English by the author's grandson, Joseph Franco, as *The Jewish Martyrs of Rhodes and Cos* in 1994.

²⁰ For details see the *Bibliography of the Hebrew Book*.

Izmir, who published his views on the subject in the Judezmo periodicals *El Tiempo* and *El Telégrafo* of Constantinople. Another was Hizkia Franco's friend, Rafael Amato of Izmir, who, under the pseudonym "Hispanófilo", published articles in Castilianized Judezmo in Franco's *El Comercial*.

Franco's resistance to the Castilianization proposal took several forms. One of the earliest was a pragmatic request in *El Comercial* (1:17 [1906], 11) on behalf of the average reader: "Los hispanófilos abundan. Ayer enviaban un artículo de Ángel Pulido. Hoy nos mandan un pedazo de Pompeyo Gener.²¹ Rogamos a estos señores de enviarnos pedazos simples para que el lector no tenga muncho a penar." By "simples" Franco meant less Castilianized.

At first apparently bemused by the myriad solutions to the Sephardic 'language question' proposed by Judezmo journalists, Franco sometimes related to the topic with humor and irony.²² In a satirical article on the 'language question' entitled "Una eternel tempesta", Franco under the guise of "El fantaśista" flippantly adopted the attitude of the anti-Judezmists, calling his language "el coromrido dialecto judeo-español" and mocking the opinions on the subject expressed by David Fresco (1853-1936),²³ Nisim de Yedá Pardo (1859-1913),²⁴ Šemuel Sa'adí Haleví (Sam Levy, 1870-1959),²⁵ and Jacques Danon (Franco 1924, 26-28). With them he asked: "¿debían hermośear esta lingua barda o abandonar este heritađe de la España de Torquemada?" In the article "Prensa y opinión" (Franco 1924, 29-30), "El fantaśista" cynically summarized the opinions emerging during the 'language question' controversy:

Parece que nuestra prensa tiene el güerco en las tripas. Continualmente ella harba²⁶ su cabeza contra este duro maredo que llaman la 'cuestión de la lingua'. Queren dar una lingua a nośotros judeos de Oriente que nos creen sin una, un "pueblo mudo" [...]. "¡El hebree!", grita el uno, "nuestra lingua nacional, la lingua de nuestros padres. Al nombre del judeismo embežadlo!" "Nośotros somos en Turquía", diće un otro, "debemos embežar el turco, que nos servirá a mucho". "A cualo bueno estos aires y estas afectaciones? Antes de todo la lingua que nos dará

²¹ Pompeyo Gener (1848-1919) was a Catalan literary critic.

²² In an early pseudo-lexicographical piece, first published in 1895 and headed "Definiciones", Franco already demonstrated his leanings toward humor and irony with regard to things linguistic as well as to some aspects of traditional Jewish society. Note, for example, his definition: "habrá (talmud torá) – escola onde deprendian la iñoranza."

²³ On Fresco's attitude toward Judezmo see Stein (especially 72-73); and Bunis (forthcoming).

²⁴ On Pardo and the language question see Bunis (2011b).

²⁵ Levi's attitude toward Judezmo is treated in Bunis (2004; 2010, and 2011c).

²⁶ Although written, as usual in Judezmo, with *het* (ה), and thus transcribed here by <h>, it should be noted that, except in Arabic-speaking countries, the Sephardim did not have the sound [h] as in Arabic, but only [x], which they represented by *het*. Thus, throughout this article, the transcription symbol <h> should be read as [x].

pan: embezád dunqüe el francés, esta lingua comercial, aristocrática y diplomática". Y una última música viene entonar el himno judió español – que no es ni judió ni español, si no que un bastardo [...]. ¿Ónde que vaiga dunqüe este pobre judió? ¿Cuál lingua él embece a sus hijos? Cuatro exclamaciones responden a estas demandas: "¡El turco!" - "¡El hebreo!" - "¡El francés!" - "¡Español!" Decidido. Debemos dunqüe embezar esta nueva lingua que nos recomienda la prensa: La lingua turco-hebráica-franco-española! (¿No parece la locomotiva con el tren que corre?)

In an article published in *El Comercial* (2:44 [1907], 3-4), "El fantaśista" went on to broach the issue of Castilianization. Again his tone was mildly ironic:

A la atención de nuestros gaéteros judiós-españoles

Queridos señores,

No sé si remarcateš que, desde un cierto tiempo, el "Comercial", a tendencias hispanófilas, entreprendió una campaña silenciosa y pacífica en favor de nuestro querenciado judeo español. Por exhortarnos a adherir al hispanofilismo, algunos de sus redactores nos servían de vez en cuando algunos de estos "platos castillanos" que, por ser nuevos y extraños, no podían ser por nosotros que ſevdos y indígestos.

Pocos eran así los meldadores que los saboreaban. Por mi parte, yo no podía ver que de negro ojo los esfuerzos de estos hispanófilos que, de escribanos sueltos que somos, querían hacer de nosotros hombres sometidos y cativos, en atádonos fuertemente de pies y de manos. Sus campaña por tanto me inquietaba poco; siendo yo vía sus palabra sin eco ninguno.

Ma agora la cosa troca de forma, ella deviene grave y mismo pericolosa. No se trata, ni más ni menos, que de un ataco a nuestra libertad literaria judeo-española.

Un hispanofilico, que no es a mis ojos que un muerde fuye, empezó desde unas cuantas semanas a encajarse entre las columnas del "Comercial" por embezarnos las reglas que debriamos seguir en nuestro generoso y liberal judeo-español! Él pretende, el insolente, atacar nuestro lenguaje y quiere por esto imponernos reglas y meſuras.

¿Remarcás la oſadía?

El mal es que el malicioſo personaje que encarna este "hispanofilico" se sirve de una ingénioſa metod que parece darle esperanzas de reuſita. Él escribe poco y plaſiente, para que lo melden toda la gente.

¿Y cuál será entonces nuestra situación a nosotros todos, escribanos judeos de Oriente?

Hoy nuestra libertad es cumplida. Nuestra péndola va de arriba abajo, ella corre de ciedra a derecha. Nootros tenemos la maraviosa facultad de tornarnos al viento que sopla y vestir nuestros pensamientos con los trajes los más variados; franco, turco, hebreo y cohetera [sic]. Y ninguno tiene el coraje de hacernos la mínima remarca.

Hoy tenemos “prochesos” y “procesos”, “derechos” y “diritos”, “proyectos” y “proyectos”, y mañana ¡helás! ¡No tendremos que solo “diritos”, “procesos” y “proyectos”! Mañana no habrá más “responsabilidad”, “pensadas” y “mantención”, pero “responsabilidad”, “pensamientos” y “mantenimiento”.

Hoy tenemos el “cante” que rima harmoniosamente con “bonete”; tenemos también “boneta” que se caña admirablemente con “salata”.

Hoy tenemos por esclamarnos: “¡helás!” Entonces no habrá que “¡guay!” “¡alora!”

Hoy la lingua es el juete de nuestro caprichio. Mañana nosotros deviendremos los esclavos del suyo. Nootros que hacemos hoy tanto, mañana ni “La crónica comunala”.

Y qué deviendrá entonces nuestra poesía, nuestra rica y variada literatura judeo-española?

Guay a nosotros si nos permitiremos hablar de “la lingua española la cuala les [=nos] es muy querida” y devista vos veréš todos, hispanófilos y hispanofílicos, levantarse como los gallos y gritar: “¡Iñorantes! Vos pecáš así. Debéš decir: “¡La lingua española [la cual] nos es querida”!

Y nosotros perderemos toda la “otoritá”, toda la “diñitá”, de las cuales gozamos actualmente con tanta fiereza.

Queridos compañeros, como lo veš, el mal es grande, el pericolo iminente. Los momentos son preciosos, no tenemos tiempo de pedrer. Pues que no podemos ahora, en nuestra avanzada edad, meternos a la escuela y deprender la lingua y la gramática española que, atorguémoslo, iñoramos totalmente. No tenemos otro remedio más que de librarnos del enemigo que nos desfia. Debemos aunar nuestros esfuerzos y combatir hasta la última trance por salvar nuestra “diñitá literaria”. Armémos-nos de nuestras plumas vígorosas y burlescas, insultantes y tocaderas, y ataquémos-nos a todos estos hispanófilos que merecen llenamente nuestro menosprecio. ¡Y que el triunfo de esta cavsa santa nos sea acordado!

En la firme esperanza que mis palabras calientes toparán un eco en vuestros blanduzcos corazones, yo vos rogo, queridos y caros compañeros, de agradecer los respectos de vuestro y jamás devuado servitor,

El fantaſista.

In an article in the following issue of *El Comercial* (2:45 [1907], 4), Franco's colleague Rafael Amato ("Hispanófilo"), who had written the Castilianizing pieces to which Franco referred, tried to convince readers that "El fantaśista" was merely being facetious, and actually supported Castilianization:

Dos palabras

Contrariamente a lo que se imaginaron algunos, leí con mucho placer el artículo publicado por "El fantaśista" en el último número del Comercial, aunque él parezca ser escrito por combatir mi campaña hispanófila. Digo "parezca" por-que conozco el autor pseudónimo en cuestión y sé que, efectivamente, él es un castillanista. Él no ha querido que criticar el lenguaje de nuestros periodistas, y con qué hermosa ironía, ¡mi Dios! Que digan lo que quieren aqueos que juzgan superficialmente y sobre las apariencias; pero los lectores que se dan la pena de reflexir entenderán que en el fuero de su conciencia no es un grito de alarma que "El fantaśista" quería echar, ma un grito de trionfo. Él no ha sido franco, y por castigarlo, el tipógrafo (que él sea bendicho) se erijó un justezario en favor de los hispanófilos.

"No habrá más 'dirito', peró 'derecho'" decía "El fantaśista" en su manuscrito. El tipógrafo compuso "No habrá más 'derecho', peró 'dirito'".

"No se debe decir "La lingua española la cuala les es querida", peró "La lingua española la cual nos es querida", había escrito "El fantaśista". Aquí el escopo era de dar a entender que en el vero español no se dice nunca "la cuala", mismo por el femino, sinó "la cual". Nuestro tipógrafo suprimió la palabra sobre la cual estaba basada la observación y compuso "La lingua española nos es querida". Ainda muchos yerros tipográficos semejantes calía ver cuanto "El fantaśista" los sentía (regretaba)..."

Hispanofilico

But, to use the trite expression, "many a true word is spoken in jest". It seems that Franco actually did object to the heavily Castilianized variety of language used by "Hispanófilo" and others, which he believed the average reader found "insipid", "indigestible" and "unenjoyable". This fact becomes clear from Franco's subsequent writing. For example, although there is a certain ironic flavor to the complaint by "El fantaśista" that the Hispanophiles were trying to prevent Judezmo writers from using non-Castilian-origin synonyms of Judezmo words, Franco himself made frequent use of such synonyms (e.g., *pero/ma*, *guay/helás*, *ruido/bruido*, *teretemblos/re'ašim* (< Hb.) and he obviously had no intention of giving them up. In a piece published in *El Comercial* (3:9 [1908], 5-6) under the pseudonym "Aquel", Franco replied to comments by J. H. (perhaps Joséf Hažán) on a lecture that had been delivered the

same year by Rafael Amato. Agreeing with Angel Pulido, Amato had argued that Spanish should play a long-range role in the life of the Ottoman Jews. J. H. was of the opinion that this was not to be – not for reasons of sentiment, but pragmatism: Spanish would be of no use to the Ottoman Sephardim in their intercommunal social or commercial lives. “Aquel” could not entirely agree with J. H., since he saw the disappearance of *judeo-español* as a practical impossibility. For him, the question posed many years before by David Fresco, editor of *El Tiempo*, as to which language the Ottoman Jews should adopt had been answered centuries ago: *judeo-español* – which was taking shape as a solid edifice, its modern variant being erected stone by stone:

No entrevemos el menester ni el molde por onde desparecerá el judeo-español, este precioso heritaje de nuestro triste pasado. No somos más en presencia de una “lingua a adoptar”, ma de una “lingua adoptada”, lingua madre que hablamos en nuestra chiquez, que hablamos ahora en nuestras familias, y hablarán cierto nuestros hijos, al avenir, malgrado todas las previsiones y todas las “leyes económicas” que citan a tuerto y a travieso. No se trata non más del chapeo o de la gravata que pueden trocar en cada momento al primer movimiento de fantasía, ma de una lingua que entró ya en nuestro espíritu, en nuestra sangre, de una lingua que no podrán arancar nunca a nuestra lingua.

Se yerran en diciendo que el español se depiedre. Un golpe de ojo comparativo entre su pasado y su presente vos bastará por illuminar delante vuestra inteligenza el grande paso que él ha hecho, malgrado las críticas y las exclamaciones, en despecho de todas las maldiciones y todos los cantes de muerte que le fueron dedicados poco a poco. El español – **el judeo-español** – se forma, se organiza, por tomar la consistencia de un cuerpo sólido; piedra a piedra su edificio se alza cada día.

Not only was Franco in fact an advocate of *judeo-español* – he was downright intoxicated with it, saying of the Judezmo press and the language of its writers:

Nuestra brava prensa nos pertenece ... Su lenguaje florecido y delicioso, su estil variado y rico, son nuestros. Sus palabras escogidas y perfumadas de un delicio muchas veces encantador, estas palabras que corren cada día de entre los labios como las perlas finas del oceano, nos personifican en un gesto expresivo y fenomenal. (Franco 1924, 20)

In an article published in 1931 in the (at that time, Hebrew-letter) periodical *La Boz de Oriente*, entitled “Tribuna libera: ... Letra abierta” (*La Boz de Oriente* 1:35

[1931], 2-4), Franco equated the status of the archaizing Sephardic Bible-translation language with that of the Aramaic targumim, saying of the latter:

[...] Es tal y cual lo que nosotros hacemos en nuestros días en ajuntando el texto sagrado al treślado castillano que llamamos “ladino”... No tendríamos, cierto, nada a decir si esta tradición era continuada por nosotros judeós españoles, no en forma antigua de “šenáyim micrá veeḥad targum”²⁷ pero en aquea de “šenáyim micrá veeḥad ladino”, porque es solamente así que ella hubiere tenido su razón de ser continuada.

3. Judeo-español as an independent linguistic entity

Some of Hizkia Franco's ideas on just how modern *judeo-español* should – and should not – solidify were formulated in a public letter addressed to David Fresco, entitled “La cuestión del judeo-español”. An allusion in the letter to a lecture by Fresco's colleague, José M. Estrugo (“su última conferencia”), suggests that it was the immediate catalyst for Franco's letter. Written in Rhodes in 1923 and published in *El Tiempo* (51:53 [Constantinople 1923], 428-429), Franco's letter – reproduced in romanization in the Appendix (A) at the end of the present article²⁸ – amounts to an anti-Castilianist, pro-Judezmist credo.²⁹ The remarks it contains shed light on Franco's preferences regarding aspects of the Judezmo writing system, morphology, lexicon, and syntax. They also bring to the fore Franco's rejection of any attempt to massively Castilianize Judezmo; and they illucidate his view of Judezmo as a linguistic entity separate from Castilian. The Judezmo language ideology of Hizkia M. Franco as expressed in “La cuestión del judeo-español” will be summarized in the following paragraphs. Most of the examples are taken from that text.

3.1 Orthography

Like his turn-of-the-twentieth-century Judezmist predecessor, Šemuel Haleví of Salonika, Franco ostensibly supported the use of Hebrew letters for writing Judezmo.³⁰ Before settling in Rhodes, Franco's periodicals and publications were always in the traditional Rashí characters. When the Istanbul periodical *La Boz de Oriente* began to publish some of its articles in romanization, in addition to those in Hebrew letters,

²⁷ ‘Once in Hebrew and twice in Aramaic’.

²⁸ Also reproduced in the Appendix (B) is a romanized sample of how Franco incorporated his linguistic principles in fictional writing.

²⁹ On the Judezmist principles advocated by journalists who preferred the use of popular Judezmo as the basis for the modern literary language see Bunis (2011c). On some possible influences from Yiddishism on Judezmism see Bunis (2010).

³⁰ Franco's writings contained echoes of Levy's writings, for example, his allusions to *climas* as a factor in language change (cf. similar use of *clima* in an article by Levy in *La Época* 26:1288 [1901], 4).

Franco's articles always appeared in the Hebrew-letter section. In his use of Hebrew-letter orthography, Franco preserved some of its characteristic features, such as: (a) the use of *lamed+yod+yod* (לְיָם) corresponding to Castilian *y* (e.g., לִין *yo*); (b) double yod (ײַ) for the *y* glide, corresponding to Castilian orthographic single *i* (e.g., אִידְיוֹמָס, phonemically: *idyomas*); (c) usually single *reš* (ר) for both flapped *r* and trilled *rr* (e.g., קָרְרֵינְטִי *corriente*);³¹ (d) abbreviations such as ס' for *señor*. Franco also made occasional use of vowel points in the Hebrew font to clarify pronunciation, e.g., יְזִין, *José*, קָלְעִין, *Cluni* (*El Comercial* 3:15 [1908], 11, and 3:16 (1908), 9). In his 1924 anthology Franco seems to have conceded to modern Castilian orthography in the printing of a preposition and a following definite article as two words instead of one, as had been the practice in *El Comercial*: e.g., אַנְתִּיל en el → דִילָה, אַנְתִּיל en el → לְוִקִּי lo que > lo que. But in other respects the anthology preserved the traditional orthography.

According to Franco, the use of Roman characters in his Rhodes periodical, *El Buletino*, was not by choice, but because Hebrew type was unavailable. He stated this expressly on the first page of the first issue: <Nuestro deseo es de publicar este boletino en letras ebraicas; pero hasta que estas letras ariven creimos dever empesar la publicacion en caracteres latinos. Esperamos que con los proximos numeros daremos la satisfaccion deseada>. It is unclear why Franco went on to publish the subsequent *El Boletin* in romanization: perhaps the continued unavailability of Hebrew type, or its prohibitive cost, or perhaps the preference expressed by readers. In either case, his Roman-letter orthography approached, but was never identical with, Castilian. For example, he used <ni> instead of <ñ> (e.g., <anio>), frequently <s> instead of <c> for voiced [z] (e.g., <haser>), <v> for [v] corresponding to Spanish (e.g., <sovre>), and occasional <ch> for [š] (cf. Old Spanish <x>) (e.g., <bacho>), and he never used accent marks as obligatory in Castilian, or the characteristically Castilian inverted punctuation marks ȝ, ȝ at the beginning of a sentence. Perhaps it was the romanization used in 1928 in Franco's *El Buletino* which provided the model for the romanization employed by Albert Cohen (1888-1949) of Istanbul, as illustrated in his periodical, *La Boz de Türkiye*. With its <c/qu> for [k] (e.g., <con>, <que>), <s> for [z] corresponding to Castilian <s> (e.g., <deseo>), silent <h> (e.g., <hasta>), and <j/g> for [dʒ], [ʒ] and [ʃ] corresponding to modern Spanish <j/g> (e.g., <gente>, <hijo>, <dijo>), Franco's Latin-letter orthography may be seen as a kind of forerunner of the system advocated by Iacob M. Hassán and Elena Romero, in its diacritic-free variant.

³¹ A few forms contain double *reš* (רֶשׁ), e.g., *גִּירָה* *guerra*, *אִירָאַסִּיּוֹנָאֵל irrational*, and in Franco's later writings, *טִירָה* *tierra*, *פִּירָרוֹן* *perro*.

3.2 Romance component

3.2.1 Phonology

In his letter to Fresco, Franco called attention to some of the phonological divergences he noticed between *judeo-español* and Castilian. Franco lacked training in linguistics and the history of Spanish and thus his comments are often of the naive, popular sort. For example, he confused the concept of graphemes and the phonemes they represented, he was unaware of the phonological values of various letters in modern Spanish orthography, and he misunderstood the historical development of Ibero-Romance phonology (illustrated, for example, in a statement such as “La letra *b* castillana, en muchas palabras, el *judeo-español* la rende más floja”). Nevertheless, in his own way, Franco pointed out many of the significant phonological features distinguishing Judezmo from modern Castilian.

In both his Hebrew-letter and romanized texts Franco generally maintained the traditional Judezmo phonological system, including: (a) the preservation of the sibilant phonemes /dʒ/ (e.g., ג'וֹסְטָה *justa* / <justa>), /ʃ/ (e.g., בָאַשׁוּ *bajo* / <bacho/-jo>³²), and /ʒ/ (e.g., בְיִזְהָ *vieja* / <vieja>), and of initial /f-/ (from Latin *F-*) where preserved among the Sephardim of Turkey (e.g., פֵירִידָה *ferida* / <ferida>); (b) the distinction between the phonemes /b/ and /v/ (e.g., בִיבְהָ *yiva* / <biva>), /d/ and /ð/ (e.g., סִיבְדָאַד *civdad*³³), and /s/ and /z/ (e.g., גַאנְאַנְסִיוֹזּוּ *ganancioso* / <ganancioso>); (c) the preservation of the phoneme /χ/ (e.g., חָרָגָן *haragan* / <haragan>); (d) the reflection as phonological zero of historical *ll* between a front vowel and an adjacent vowel (e.g., אֲקִיאָוָס *aqueos*);³⁴ the reflection of Latin QUE-, QUAE- as *que*, as among the Sephardim of Turkey, in words such as *quen* (קָוֶן / <quen>) and *quere* (קָרֵר / <quere>);³⁵ (e) the reflection of Latin -GN- as *ñ* in a word such as *iñorante* (אִינְיָוָרָאנְטִי); (f) the use of vowel reductions in lexemes such as *probas*, *antigo*; and (f) lexemes illustrating vowel shifts and instability such as *lingua* (לִינְגּוֹוָה / <lingua>).³⁶

Several features of the phonology reflected in Franco's texts do not correspond to popular modern Judezmo: e.g., *n-* (not *m-*) in *nosotros* (נוֹזְטוֹרָוָס / <nosotros>) and *nue-* (not *mue-*) in *nuestro* (נוֹאַיסְטָרוּ / <nuestro>), *fue-* (not *jue-*) in *fuerza* (פֵאוּרָתָה / <fuerza>), *-rd-* (not *-dr-*) in *tarde* (טָאַרְדָּה / <tarde>), *-s-* (not *-vś-*) in *caso* (קָאַזוּ / <caso>). In all of these instances Franco's forms correspond to normative modern

³² Cf. Fr. <ch> = [ʃ]. The forms within angular brackets appeared in Franco's Latin-letter periodicals.

³³ This phonemic opposition was maintained orthographically only in Hebrew-letter periodicals which regularly distinguished between *ת* and 'ת, such as David Fresco's *El Tiempo* of Istanbul.

³⁴ In his romanized texts this was generally denoted by <ll>, e.g., <aquellos>. However, where Judezmo [jj] + vowel corresponded to Castilian + vowel, Franco usually used <ll>, e.g., <familla>, representing [fa'mija].

³⁵ For relevant discussion see Quintana Rodríguez (228-31).

³⁶ Franco's forms occasionally reflect the tendency toward neutralization of the historical vowel oppositions *e* vs. *i* and *o* vs. *u* in nonstressed position, usually resulting in realization as *i* and *u*, as characteristic of his native Rhodes dialect (see Quintana Rodríguez 40-57).

Castilian, which is perhaps what led some researchers to the conclusion that, in his Latin-letter periodicals, Franco himself actually attempted to Castilianize his language.³⁷ However, it must be remembered that most of the above-mentioned features are also characteristic of the Ladino translation-variety into which Hebrew and Aramaic sacred texts were traditionally rendered (especially the older variants of that variety), as well as of other conservative forms of Judezmo (for example, traditional poetic language). Furthermore, many of them parallel the corresponding segments found in cognate lexemes (where they exist) in French and Italian (e.g., *nous/noi*, *notre/nostro*, *force/forza*, *tard/tarde*, *cas/caso*) – languages with which Franco was familiar and which heavily influenced his Judezmo. There is a certain class component to Franco's language ideology, and he may have felt that the distinctive Judezmo forms were typical of “la clasa ordinaria y inculta” (*El Tiempo* 51:53 [1923], 429), while he himself evidently identified with the group he classified as “nuestros intelectuales, esta partida rafinada, esta crema de nuestra sociedad” (Franco 1924, 26). Franco did not object, however, to the use of popular forms in material intended for the ‘proletariat’: “Nuestro ‘proletariato’ quere del estil populario y tienen razón los que se lo dan” (Franco 1924, 26). Franco himself used such forms when depicting the speech of the popular sector. For example, Franco always used the cluster *fue-* when writing in his own voice; but in a fictional piece by him, the simple, pious Jew sent out to invite synagogue members to leave their homes early in the morning in order to attend penitential prayers is portrayed as shouting to them from outside their homes “¡Juera! ¡Juera!” (Franco 1924, 138).

3.2.2 Morphology

Franco's texts demonstrate an insistent preservation of fundamental characteristic features of the Judezmo nominal, verbal and pronominal systems, including:

- (a) feminine gender for substantives with *-or*, such as *la grandor*;
- (b) hypocoristic forms such as *hermanico* and *yueltećico*;
- (c) finite verb forms diverging from normative Castilian such as present-tense *creigo* [=creo], *vego* [=veo], *haiga* [=haya], *empeza* [=empieza], and preterite-tense *remarquí* [=remarqué], *remarquimos* [=remarcamos], *remarcateś* [=remarcasteis];
- (d) third-person plural enclitic *-sen* with the infinite and gerund, as in *emprestarsen*;
- (e) the neuter pronoun *cualo*;

Franco also supported the coining of new lexemes through the use of native derivational morphemes, such as *haraganear* [=ser perezoso], from *haragán* + *-ear*.

In several instances, Franco's morphology disagrees with some variants of popular modern Judezmo (influenced by French) and appears to agree with modern Castilian. For example, unlike some varieties of popular Judezmo, which tend to overtly

³⁷ E.g., Gaon 1965, no. 60 on *El Boletín*; and the entries on *Buletino Mensual* and *El Boletín* in the *Bibliography of the Hebrew Book*.

distinguish four forms of adjectives (i.e., m.sg. vs. f.sg., m.pl. vs. f.pl.) ending in their masculine singular forms in a liquid (*l, m, n, r*), in Franco's texts, as in normative Castilian, only two forms occur: singular, with a final consonant, and plural, with consonant + *-es*, e.g., (f.pl.) *tales* (not *talas*) *influenzas*, (f.sg.) *orígen/-ín común* (not *comuna*), (f.sg.) *inmortal* (not *inmortal*) *cuestión*. However, it must be noted that we also find the same forms in pre-modern varieties of Judezmo, in Ladino and other conservative varieties of the language used in the modern era, and perhaps no less importantly, in Italian (sg. *tale* vs. pl. *tali*, sg. *comune* vs. *comuni*, *immortale* vs. *immortali*).

3.2.3 Lexicon

3.2.3.1 Ibero-Romance

Franco often shows a preference for the distinctly Judezmo forms of lexemes of Ibero-Romance origin, although he was familiar with their normative modern Castilian cognates. These Judezmo forms frequently corresponded to forms used in medieval, regional, or popular Ibero-Romance, or were apparently unique to the Jews: e.g., lexemes appearing in his “credo” such as *judió* [=judío], *onde* [=donde], *cavsa* [=causa], *percurar* [=procurar, tratar], *ayebrarse* [=abrevarse], *desiñar* [=designar], *hec* (הֵק) [=he], *aínda* [=todavía], *dicíplo* [=discípulo], *andada* [=andar], *manadero* [=fuente], *mercado* [=comprado], *rellevar* and *somportar* [=soportar], *yierbo* [=palabra], *ansi* [=así], *mientras* [=mientras], *parientez* [=parentesco], *ataco* [=ataque], *gaste* [=gasto], *ignoranza* [=ignorancia], *civdad* and *civdadino* [=ciudad, ciudadano], *enseñamiento* [=enseñanza], *yivda* [=viuda], *ajuntar* [=juntar], *riflo* [=aliento].

On the other hand, Franco was aware of many modern Castilian lexemes which were absent in Judezmo (at least in his dialect), and he generally refrained from using them: e.g., *acaso*, *siquiera*, *cuyo*, *hacia*, *datos*, *acerca*, *ambos*, *anterior*, *atender* [=haçer atención], *cartera* [=portefolio del ministerio], *ampliar* [=dar un poco más de riflo].

At first glance it seems difficult to reconcile Franco's avowed opposition to the Castilianization of Judezmo with his statement “No vemos ningún inconveniente a que tengan recurso a la lingua de origen, al castillano, todas las veces que el menester se hará sentir, por emprestarse los vocablos que mancan en nuestro dialecto”. However, this was perhaps an attempt to block potential criticism of his views. In reality, Franco used few forms clearly and uniquely derivable from normative modern Castilian, as opposed to their popular modern Judezmo analogues. The most outstanding of these forms were *Usted* (not *él/ella*, *vosotros/-as*) and *ahora* (i.e., אָוֶרֶה, not *agora*); Franco would have seen both of these forms in articles by contemporaneous sympathizers of Castilianization such as Joseph Estrugo and Rafael Amato. In their texts Franco would also have seen the few other forms he employed which corresponded to normative

Castilian but disagreed with some variants of Judezmo which he adopted, e.g., *pobre* (not *probe*, although both forms are known in Judezmo dialects), *tan [justa]* (not *tanto*, although this apparent Italianism is rare in pre-modern Judezmo).

In a few instances, apparently to demonstrate his acquaintance with the Castilian forms preferred by some of his fellow journalists, Franco used the usual Judezmo form of a word – often, a Galicism or Italianism – and then added the Castilian equivalent, either after the expression *si quiere*, e.g., *las tornuras o si quiere los ‘giros’ de las frases* [cf. Fr. *tournure*]; or within quotation marks, e.g., *arivo o ... “llegada”* [cf. It. *arrivo*]; or within parentheses, e.g., *populaciones (poblaciones)* [cf. Fr. *population*, It. *popolazione*], *avenir (porvenir)* [cf. Fr. *venir*, It. *avvenire*], *senso (sentido)* [cf. Fr. *sens*, It. *senso*]. In rare instances he used a Castilianism and then explained it parenthetically, e.g., *preciso (menester)*, *a peśar (malgrado)*. In editing his “credo” for republication in *Impresiones y reflexiones*, Franco modified a few forms in the direction of normative Castilian: *aquea* → *aquella*, *vego* → *veo*, *cudíoſo*³⁸ → *cuidoſo*; and he replaced a Galicism and two Italianisms with Castilianisms: *miliós* [cf. Fr. *milieux*] → *medios*, *distingüe* → *distingue*, *mai* → *nunca*. In several instances Franco’s texts show vacillation between characteristic Judezmo forms and their Castilian correspondents: e.g., *guadrar / guadrar*, *güevo / huevo*, *semos / somos*, *puedemos / podemos*, *delantre / delante*, *demprano / temprano*, *estonces / entonces*, *leciones / lecciones*, *esprito / espírito*, *esforzo / esfuerzo* (cf. It. *sforzo*) / *esfuerzo*.³⁹

As typical of his western-educated and European-oriented Judezmo-speaking contemporaries, Franco had a passion for polysyllabic, learned borrowings ultimately of Latin or Greek origin. Many such lexemes used by Franco might at first glance appear to be Castilianisms. But in fact they can easily be derived from other Romance languages, such as French and Italian, if one takes into consideration the systematic morphological shifts regularly used by Judezmo speakers to incorporate such elements into Judezmo by changing their derivational endings to the native correspondents originating in Old Spanish. For example:

Castilian	French	Italian	Judezmo
-e: clase	-e: classe	e- classe	a-: clasa
-ar: fijar	-er: fixer		-ar: fixar [fik'sar]
-sión: ocasión	-sion: occasion	-sione: occasione	-sión: ocasión
-oso: valoroso	-eux: valeureux	-oso: valoroso	-óſo: valoroso
-encia: tendencia		-enza: tendenza	-encia: tendencia

Thus, any one of those languages could have been the source of the borrowings. In some instances, the occlusive *b* (不曾) or *d* (不曾), rather than characteristic Judezmo fricative *β* (不曾) and *ð* (不曾), in the stems of certain of these elements demonstrate their

³⁸ For relevant discussion see Quintana Rodríguez (212-15).

³⁹ The examples are taken from various issues of *El Comercial* and *Impresiones y reflexiones* (i.e., Franco 1924).

non-Castilian origin (at least when compared with their Castilian *spoken* forms). For example:

Castilian	French	Italian	Judezmo
-ario: vocabulario [-β-]	-aire: vocabulaire	-ario: vocabolario	-ario: vocáulario
-ción: consideración [-ð-]	-tion: consideration	-zione: considerazione	-ción: consideración

If Franco borrowed such forms from Castilian, then his Hebrew-letter transcriptions constitute spelling pronunciations, based on their orthographic forms as found in Castilian dictionaries, without consideration for their actual phonological realization in the modern language. This was connected to a wider phenomenon among Judezmo speakers such as Franco, in which Castilian borrowings tended to be realized according to French rather than Castilian pronunciation rules: e.g., <j-> as [ʒ] instead of [dʒ], as in *joven*, *judió*, *José*; intervocalic <g(a/o/u)> as [g] instead of [γ], as in *elegante*; intervocalic <-s-> as [z] rather than [s], as in *preciso*; <x>, generally as [gz] before a voiced sound (e.g., אִיגֶזְצֵיָה [eg'ziste]) and [ks] before a voiceless sound (e.g., אַקְסְפִּירִיסְיּוֹנִים [ekspre'sjones]).⁴⁰

In the case of certain lexemes, it is obvious that the direct source was not Castilian but another Romance language, either because of (a) the form of the word (e.g., *fervente*, cf. It. *fervente*, vs. Sp. *ferviente*; *governo*, cf. It. *governo*, vs. Sp. *gobierno*; *concenciośo*, cf. Fr. *consciencieux*, vs. Sp. *concienzudo*; *fi-/fedel*, cf. Fr. *fidèle*, It. *feđele*, vs. Sp. *fiel*, *capitala* ‘capital city’, cf. Fr., It. f. *capitale*, vs. Sp. *capital*), (b) its gender (cf. feminine *origén/-in*, cf. Fr. f. *origine*, It. f. *origine*, vs. S. m. *origen*), (c) its sense (e.g., *influenza* ‘influence’, cf. It. *influenza*, vs. Sp. *influenza* ‘flu’, *influencia* ‘influence’), or (d) the absence of a cognate word in Castilian (e.g., *malgrado* ‘despite’, cf. It. *malgrado*, Fr. *malgré*; *suceso*, cf. Fr. *succès*, It. *successo*; *verso* ‘toward’, cf. Fr. *vers*, It. *verso*). This is also true of several idioms and constructions used by Franco; e.g., *dudar ... de ello* (cf. Fr. *j'en doute*, It. *ne dubito*, vs. Sp. *lo dudo*), *no es que* ‘is only’ (cf. Fr. *n'est que*, It. *non è che*, vs. Sp. *no es más que*), *Es a nosotros (a formarlo)* ‘It is up to us (to form it)’ (Fr. *c'est à nous*, It. *sta a noi*, vs. Sp. *nos toca*).

3.2.3.2 French

Like most Judezmo writers of his generation and background, products of French-speaking educational frameworks such as the Alliance Israélite Universelle with French-speaking commercial and intellectual contacts, Franco wrote in a style exhibiting clear French influence. Unlike some others, he voiced no objection to

⁴⁰ But cf. *fixar* [fik'sar], *próximo* ['proksimo], which could reflect Old Spanish but more likely derive from French.

borrowing in moderation from French, or from other “congeneric” Romance languages such as Italian, and in fact avidly exploited these sources, especially at the lexical level. The many lexemes in his composition which are likely to have originated in French include *jurnal* (Fr. *journal*), *tornura* ‘turn of phrase’ (*tournure*), *detornar* ‘to divert’ (*détourner*), *fixar* [fik'sar] ‘to establish’ (*fixer*), *revenir* ‘to return, fit’ (*revenir*), *parvenir* ‘manage to’ (*parvenir*), *concernante* ‘concerning’ (*concernant*), *puisque* ‘since’ (*puisque*), *por tanto* ‘nevertheless’ (*pourtant*), *por hasardo* ‘by chance’ (*par hasard*, cf. also It. *azzardo*), the very language-name *judeo-español*, and calques of idioms and constructions such as *Va querer permeterte Usted de* (cf. Fr. *Voulez-vous me permettre de*), *meter a luz* (cf. Fr. *mettre en lumière*), *de más en más* ‘increasingly’ (cf. Fr. *de plus en plus*), (*el castillano*) *él mismo* (cf. Fr. [*le castillan*] *lui-même*). Probably also reflecting French influence is Franco’s systematic use of the subject pronoun (e.g., *yo*, *él/ella*) where superfluous in everyday spoken Judezmo, as in Spanish. Franco’s use of Hispanisms as well as cognate Romanisms enabled him to make lexical distinctions using reflexes of the same Latin etymon, impossible in other Romance languages, e.g., *suerte* ‘fortune’ (cf. Sp. *suerte*) vs. *sorte* ‘type’ (cf. Fr. *sorte*).

3.2.3.3 Italian

Italian had always been a significant trade language among the merchants of the Mediterranean Basin, and thus had some influence on Judezmo from the sixteenth century on. The Island of Rhodes was governed by Italy between 1912 and 1947 and thus Italian was an especially important language there during that period. Hizkia Franco was familiar with Italian, and Italianisms are to be found in his writings, although they are less prominent than Gallicisms: e.g., *agente* (*agente*), *escola* (*escuela*), *exemplo* (cf. It. *esempio* + Fr. *exemple*, vs. Sp. *ejemplo*), *póvero* (*povero*), *alora* (It. *allora*), *peró* ‘but; however’ (*però*), *dunqüe/dunque* (*dunque*), *mai* (*mai*), *echétera* (*eccetera*), *sígüe* (*seguire*), *distingüe* (*distinguere*), *mancar* ‘lack; fail to’ (*mancare*), *raportar* (cf. *rapporto* + *riportare*), and the alternate language-name form *judeo-español* (*giudeo-spagnolo*). In a few instances, even Italian orthography was reflected in his writings: e.g., double *lamed* (לָם) for geminated *l*, as in *bella* (בִּילָה), *bellísima* (בִּילִילִיטִיםָה).

3.2.3.4 Of undeterminable western Romance origin

Because their Hebrew-letter spellings employ *vav* (ו) for *o* and *u*, and *yod* (י) for *e* and *i*, and lack an indication of stress position, in the case of numerous borrowings emerging during the Modern Judezmo period, it is impossible to ascertain which modern Western Romance language was the source, or, in certain instances, exactly how Franco pronounced them: e.g., non = Fr., It., or Old Sp. *non?*, אַפּוֹקָה = *epocha* (cf. Fr. *époque*) or *época* (cf. It. *epoca*, Sp. *época*?), פְּאַיִזְ-סָ = *paés/-s* (cf. It. *paese*) or

paíš/-s (cf. Fr. *pays*, Sp. *país*)?, אִיסְטִירְלִים = *esteriles* (cf. Fr. *stérile*) or *estériles* (cf. It. *sterile*, Sp. *estéril*)?, פִּירְמִיטֵר = *permeter* (cf. Fr. *permettre*, It. *permettere*) or *permitir* (cf. Sp. *permitir*)?, פָּאַסְיֵל = *facil* (cf. Fr. *facile*) or *fácil* (cf. Sp. *fácil*)?, קָאַסְטִילְיָאָנוֹ = *castillano* (with palatalized [λ], cf. It. *castigliano*) or *castellano* (with [j], cf. Sp. *castellano*)?. Wherever possible, the transcriptions used here reflect the forms used by Franco in his Latin-letter writings.

3.2.3.5 De-Castilianization and Re-Judezmization of Romanisms

In the 1923 version of Franco's "La cuestión del judeo-español", several Hispanisms were used in their normative Castilian forms, or Modern Castilian synonyms were added parenthetically. In the 1924 version, these were recast in their more usual Judezmo forms (some of them reflecting French or Italian), and the parenthetic Castilianisms were omitted. For example:

1923	1924	1923	1924
populaciones (poblaciones)	populaciones	entoncés	alora
conciencioso	concencioso	significativo	siñificativo
judeo-español	judeo-español	capital	capitala
mucho ⁴¹	muncho	relevar	rellevar
judio ⁴²	judió	distinta	distincta

3.2.4 Syntax

Franco directly pointed out several differences in the syntactic structures of Castilian and Judezmo through examples offered in his "credo". These centered on the use of the passive versus active voice: "Con razón se los llama (judeo-español: los llaman) apóstolos"; the use of *-se* versus *-sen* with a plural subject; the presence versus absence of the indefinite article; and the use of idioms:

No pueden sustraerse (judeo-español: sustraerse) los gobiernos (judeo-español: gobernos) a (judeo-español: una) empresa tan razonable como la de atender (judeo-español: como aquella de hacer atención) a estas energías;

and constructions such as that used to emphasize the subject, the choice of object pronouns, and the preferred passive construction:

"Lo mismo el czar que el príncipe Mirski, a quien se confió la cartera, manifestaron sus propósitos de ampliar la vida y mejorar la suerte de los

⁴¹ For relevant discussion see Quintana Rodríguez (217-20).

⁴² See Quintana Rodríguez (232-34).

desdichados israelitas”. En nuestro judeo-español esto quiere decir: “El czar él mismo y el príncipe Mirsqui, al cual fue confiado el portefolio del ministerio, manifestaron sus intenciones de dar un poco más de riflo a la vida y de ameñorear la suerte de los desdichados israelitas”.

As in modern literary Judezmo generally, under the influence of French *leur* and/or Italian *loro*, Franco used the formally plural possessive adjective *sus* with a singular substantive possessed by a third-person-plural subject, e.g., *sus origen*. In some respects, the use of the preposition *a* also coincided with that of French and Italian, as opposed to Spanish, e.g., *hasta un cierto grado* (cf. Fr. *dans une certaine mesure*, It. *fino a un certo punto*, vs. Sp. *hasta cierto punto*). Franco expressed the absolute superlative by means of the construction illustrated by (*se dabán*) *al (luxo) el más (rafinado)* (cf. Fr. *le [noun] le plus [adjective]*, vs. Sp. *el [noun] más [adjective]*). His frequent use of the subject pronoun where superfluous in Spanish may also be seen as influenced by French.

3.3 Turkish-Balkan Component

Like many contemporaneous Judezmo writers of his class and background, Franco tended to reject the elements of Turkish and Balkan origin so widely used in popular Judezmo. He considered them “barbarismos ajenos” used by “la clasa ordinaria y enculta” – although, actually, many of them had a long history of use in the language, and were employed by the rabbis as well as certain other educated speakers. He adduced three Turkish-origin verbs to illustrate the class of words he rejected: *embatacar* ‘to soil, dirty’ (cf. Sp. inchoative *en-*, Tk. *batak* ‘quagmire’), which in fact is documented in the reflexive form from at least 1730, and in the transitive form, from at least 1862;⁴³ *carišt(i)rear* ‘to mix’ (cf. Tk. causative *kariştı-*),⁴⁴ documented from at least 1852, and in the form *carişear* (cf. Tk. *kariş-*), from at least 1773;⁴⁵ *candirear* ‘to persuade’ (cf. Tk. *kandır-*), documented from at least 1853.⁴⁶ Nevertheless, Franco did occasionally use Turkisms-Balkanisms in parenthetic explanations of potentially unclear words, e.g., *sacón (haral)* ‘large sack’ (cf. Jud./Sp. *saco + -ón*, Tk. *harar* [*< Ar. xarār*] ‘large haircloth sack’), *encoladera (colagía)* ‘laundry woman’ (cf. Sp. *en- + collar* ‘do laundry’, *-a + Tk. kolacı* ‘starcher’), *simple huevo en la agua (refedán)* ‘soft-boiled egg’ (cf. Tk. *rafadan*), *paraśitas (dalcauques)* ‘parasites’ (cf. T. *dalkavuk*). Occasionally, Franco even incorporated Turkisms-

⁴³ E.g., “Se enbatacaron en la sangre” ‘They became stained with the blood’ (Julí 192b); “Que toméš la camisa de seda y que la enbataquéš de sangre” ‘Take the silk gown and soil it with blood’ (Cofino 3a).

⁴⁴ *Carištirear* appears in the 1923 version, reduced *cariştrear*, in the 1924 re-edition.

⁴⁵ E.g., “Con carişear la lumbre en el horno, es mutar [de komer el pan]” ‘Mixing around the fire in the oven, it is permitted’ (Argüete 53a); “Carištirean de este vino en el vašo” ‘They mix this wine in the glass’ (Šibhé 83a).

⁴⁶ E.g., “No penaba … para candrear a el ben adam” ‘He did not struggle to persuade the man’ (Palachi 63a).

Balkanisms as free-standing lexemes, e.g., *fostán* ‘woman’s skirt’ (Tk. *fistan*), *bogo* ‘soft bundle’ (Tk. *bogъ*, Gk. *bóghos*), *kukuvaya* ‘owl’ (Tk. *kukuvaya*, Gk. *koukovágia*), *na!* ‘here!’ (Tk. *na*).

3.4 Hebrew-Aramaic Component

Franco made no comment with respect to the use of Hebrew-Aramaisms, and no examples of them appeared in his “credo”. But in his other writings Franco unhesitatingly incorporated such elements, generally with reference to specifically Jewish concepts, e.g., *Yisrael* ‘the Jewish people’, *šabat*⁴⁷ ‘Sabbath’, *pésah* ‘Passover’, *tebet* ‘month of Teveth’, *maṣá*⁴⁸ ‘unleavened bread’, *šamás* ‘synagogue beadle’, *hažán* ‘cantor’, *tešubá* ‘penitence’, *tefilá* ‘prayer’, *quehilá*⁴⁹ ‘synagogue’ [pl. *quehilot*], *talmud torá* ‘religious elementary school’, *pidión* ‘redemption ceremony’, *cupot* ‘philanthropic organizations’, ‘eden⁵⁰ ‘(Garden of) Eden’, *siyonistas* ‘Zionists’. A few of the traditional Hebraisms which Franco employed were not directly related to Judaism, e.g., *garón* ‘throat’, *mažal* ‘luck’. In his fictional depictions of Sephardic folk life Franco incorporated characteristic fusion forms such as *purimlic* ‘Purim present’ (cf. *purim* + Tk. *-lik*), and hypocoristic forms of personal names such as *Yišhacucho* (< *Yišhac*) and *Mošón* (< *Mošé*).

4. Epilogue

The principles elaborated in Hizkia M. Franco’s 1923 “linguistic credo” were attacked by some Judezmo journalists, both in direct allusions to his “La cuestión del judeo-español” and in general critiques of the variety of language used by others who wrote in a style similar to that which Franco had advocated. For example, in his “La decadencia del jurnalismo judeo-español”, published in *El Telégrafo* (no. 103 [25 May 1923], p. 2), José M. Estrugo of Izmir ridiculed Franco’s suggestion that the language of the Ottoman Sephardim was a new language, separate from Castilian:

Hay quien se atreve a decir que el judeo español representa uno de los fenómenos lingüísticos del cual pueden hacer una nueva lengua. ¡No hay nada más torpe! ¿Quiénes son los que se creen capaces de hacer nuestro nuevo idioma? ¿A qué academias vamos a acudir y a qué sabios judíos

⁴⁷ In the Judezmo dialect of Izmir, Hebrew syllable-final *tav* is generally realized as voiced [ð] or voiceless [θ]; thus, read [ʃa'bað/-θ].

⁴⁸ The Hebrew letter *šadi* is realized as simple *s* in the Judezmo dialects of Izmir and Rhodes as in most other Judezmo dialects; thus, phonetically, the word should be read [ma'sa].

⁴⁹ Hebrew *he* is reflected as phonological zero in Judezmo; thus, phonetically, the word should be read [kei'la].

⁵⁰ Hebrew syllable-initial ‘ayin is reflected as phonological zero in Judezmo, thus, phonetically, read ['eðen].

para ayudarnos? ¡A los de Sarajevo, de Viena, de Rodes o de Magnesia?
¡Palabras, nada más que palabras!

David Elnecavé, who had edited the Judezmo periodical *El Judio* in Istanbul (1909-1911), published an article entitled “Prensa judía en Turquía” in his Castilian-language periodical *La Luz* (Buenos Aires, 26 March 1948). In the article, Elnecavé criticized the language used in *La Boz de Türkiye* – which employed a variety of Judezmo close to that advocated by Franco, and which published contributions by Franco himself. Elnecavé was also critical of the other Judezmo periodicals published in Istanbul in 1948. “Esta prensa ...”, he wrote, “tanto su presentación como su contenido y su ortografía, dejan mucho que desear”. Elnecavé censured the modern Turkish-based “cambios radicales en los consonantes aplicados a un idioma latino”, as used in the Roman-letter Istanbul Judezmo papers *Šabat*, *Šalom* and *Atikva*, particularly their use of <k> instead of <c/qu> (e.g., <karakter>, <kesyon>), which in his view “denaturan cumplidamente la origen de estos términos”. Elnecavé conceded that “*La Boz de Türkiye* ... emplea la ortografía de los idiomas latinos”, as was Franco’s custom as well; but nonetheless, argued Elnecavé, “el lenguaje empleado en esta hoja de publicidad es ... corrompido, maltratado, violentado (sic), es una Torre de Babel (resic). Cada uno escribe según se le da la gana. No existe sintaxis ni reglas gramaticales; los verbos se conjugan al gusto de quien escribe” (*La Boz de Türkiye* 9:203 [15 May 1948], 353).⁵¹

In 1948 *La Boz de Türkiye* published a letter to the editor by Francisco Javier Lasarte, a non-Jewish reader in Spain. In it the reader expressed great empathy for the Sephardim; but at the same time he echoed the words of Ángel Pulido of almost half a century before: for him, the language of the Jews of Turkey was “castellano sefaradita, aquel castellano del siglo XV”, and thus hardly the separate linguistic entity Franco had perceived in it.

Such criticisms of the variety of language Hizkia Franco advocated, and their authors’ disagreement with Franco’s perception of *judeo-español* as a distinct, independent language, seem to have shaken Franco’s linguistic confidence somewhat. Echoing David Fresco’s attack on Judezmo half a century before, in a prefatory article entitled <“Objeto de esta publicacion”>, appearing on the first page of the 12 September 1934 issue of his *El Boletín*, Franco wrote: <Un puevlo sin lingua es un puevlo mudo... Nuestra comunidad ... es desdichosamente una colectividad muda,

⁵¹ In a reply entitled <“Todos en un sexto!”>, published on the same page of *La Boz de Türkiye*, the editor, Albert Cohen, reminded Elnecavé that, in his Hebrew-letter periodical *El judio*, founded in Istanbul in 1909 (Gaon, no. 106 and the paper’s entry in the *Bibliography of the Hebrew Book*) he himself had used the same sort of language he now criticized. Cohen further remarked that, if he and his colleagues were to write “en puro castellano”, they would not be understood by their readers. Albert Cohen was a rare bird in Istanbul of his time: a native Judezmo speaker, he in fact attempted to write Castilianized Judezmo using Castilian spelling. His publications include *Maimonides, su vida y sus obras* (Istanbul, 1935), *La significacion de Kippour* (Istanbul, 1936), *La evolucion de la mujer y el rolo de la mujer judia en la historia de Israel* (Istanbul, 1937).

incapace de exprimir sus deseos y sus penserios, un ser que se agita en la solombra y qui se debate dientro un silencio de muerte". Franco noted that lack of support and problems of health had forced him to discontinue his earlier *El Buletino* of 1928. Nevertheless, Franco wrote with confidence in *El Boletin*: <Hoy reprendemos la tarea con la firme esperanza de llevarla adelante>.

Hizkia Franco's last statement on <'Judeo-Espaniol'> would seem to be a letter addressed to Albert Cohen, included within an article by Cohen entitled <"El problema insoluble del Judeo-Espaniol">, which appeared in *La Boz de Türkiye* in April 1949.⁵² In the article, Cohen replied to comments regarding the language used in *La Boz de Türkiye*, which had been submitted to the paper by David Elnecavé of Buenos Aires, Sam Levy of Paris, Isaac Altabev of Istanbul, and H. M. Franco. In Franco's letter, he seemed at first to have succumbed finally to the pressure he must have felt for years to conform to the opinions and linguistic style of his late-nineteenth-century adversary, David Fresco. In the letter, Franco's advice to the paper's editor was to

<“evitar el empleo de terminos flagrantemente franceses y de preferir expresiones espagnolas que todos sus lectores conocen... Se trata de una ovra de purificacion menesterosa que Usted puede muy bien realizar... A notar que el defunto David Fresco empleava estos terminos en la forma indicada y conforme al castellano”.>

And yet, further explaining himself, Franco in the end made it clear that the 'expresiones espagnolas' he had referred to were in fact those elements of Hispanic origin which he believed had always formed an integral part of the traditional language: <"No demando de Usted que emplee el castellano que nos es estragno, ma solamente el Judeo-Espaniol tal que lo usamos...">

Despite his critics, in *El Boletino*, as well as in the articles he contributed to *La Boz de Türkiye* into the late 1940s, Hizkia M. Franco continued to maintain the basic linguistic principles he had outlined in "La cuestión del judeo-español". Although making use in his Roman-letter orthography of some Castilian-like graphemes (e.g., <c/qu> rather than <k>, which of course also corresponded to French and Italian orthography), Franco persisted in his use of characteristic Judezmo phonological, morphological, lexical and syntactic forms.

Franco's present-day ideological heirs – journalists such as Moshe Shaul, Klara Perahya and Karen Gerson Sarhon, and creative writers such as Žamila Kolonomos, Matilda Koen-Sarrano, Eliezer Papo, Gad Nassi and Moshe Aelion – have taken Franco's independent *judeo-españolismo* a step farther away from the artificial Castilianization to which Franco objected, and closer to popular Judezmo. They have rejected those Castilianizing Latin-letter forms used by Franco himself, such as *nosotros*, *nuestro*, *tarde*, (feminine) *nacional*, *ahora*, *ella*, *querer*, and replaced them

⁵² *La Boz de Türkiye* 10:222 (1 April 1949), 253-54.

with their more usual Judezmo equivalents, at the same time spelling them in their own distinctive fashion: *mozotros, muestro, tadre*, (feminine) *nasionala*,⁵³ *agora, eya, kerer*. In doing so they have exposed themselves to the criticism of major Sephardic studies scholars such as Elena Romero and the late Iacob M. Hassán, who demanded that romanized Judezmo be written according to the principles of modern Castilian orthography (Hassán, 149). But, like Hizkia M. Franco in his day, the current Judezmist writers have the courage of their convictions, and are determined, to paraphrase Franco, to keep “estos dos lenguajes” as “dos seres estrechamente aparentados” – and not make of them one and the same individual.

⁵³ The form is in fact incorporated in the organizational name La Autoridad Nasionala del Ladino (Jerusalem).

APPENDIX A (= Franco 1923)

La cuestión del judeo-español⁵⁴

Al señor director del jurnal “El Tiempo”

— * —

Va⁵⁵ querer permeterme Usted⁵⁶ de revolver, un momento, las ceniñas onde yaéce ahora la inmortal cuestión judeo-español [sic] que, en despecho de todas las condenaciones a muerte pronunciadas contra él, levanta áinda una vez⁵⁷ la cabeza, por presentarnos su figura siempre viva, siempre fiel [1924: viril]⁵⁸?

A peśar de sus valorosas y famosas campañas anti-castillanas, yo remarquí desde siempre en su estimada gaćeta una tendencia siempre creciente a castillanizar nuestro materno lenguaje, el judeo-español. Usted percuró siempre, a meśura que el menester se le hacía sentir, a recoger vocablos en_el diccionario castillano y a haćer de ellos un uśage cudioso [1924: cuidoso] y conciencioso [1924: concencioso]. Esta tendencia se ha accentuado áinda más desde el aribo, o, si quiere, desde la “llegada” a Conspla⁵⁹ de su jóven y inteligente colaboradør, se'[ñor] José Estrugo que, cierto, es un fervente dičiplo del se'[ñor] Puliđo, el nuevo [1924: noble] y venerable [1924: venerable] apóstolo de_la reconciliación hispano-hebrea. Los artículos publicados en_el “Tiempo” por este jóven escritor, y su última conferencia sobre todo, han remetido sobre el tapete la vieja y inmortal cuestión judeo-español [1924: judeo-español]. Esto me da la ocasión y quizás el derecho de emitir a mi torno algunas consideraciones a este sujeto.

Este movimiento que tiende a castillanizar nuestra lingua coriente, ¿tiene él su lugar de ser, tiene él esperanza de suceso?

Permitame de dudar mucho [1924: muncho] de ello.

Como su nombre lo indica, el judeo-español es de origen española. Él tuvo la lingua española como madre, el judeo [1924: judio] como padre y el Oriente como suelo natural. Es una planta arancada al suelo de la Península Ibérica, y transplantada en Oriente onde, bajo la influenza de un nuevo clima, de un otro aire [1924: aero], ella devino otra, distinta [1924: distincta] de sus hermanas españolas.

⁵⁴ In transcribing the Hebrew-letter text according to the romanization system advocated by Elena Romero and the late Iacob M. Hassán I have taken into consideration the romanization used by Hizkia Franco in his Latin-letter writings. Thus, *lingua* not *lengua*, *permeter* not *permitir*, *aribo* not *arribo*, etc.

⁵⁵ In the anthologized version (1924) this word is preceded by the line: Si'[ñor] director.

⁵⁶ In the transcription, the character <d> will be used to represent the textual character *dalet+diacritic* (đ). That character is not used in the 1924 version.

⁵⁷ In the anthologized version this is written: vež. In the remaining footnotes such alternate forms will be noted without comment.

⁵⁸ Variant forms appearing in the 1924 version in *Impresiones y reflexiones* are given in square brackets after the word 1924.

⁵⁹ Cf. Sp. Cons/pla, an abbreviation of Constantinopla.

Yo quero ver en el judeo-español la repetición de un fenómeno social bastante frecuente, el fenómeno lingüístico que da lugar ordinariamente al nacimiento de nuevos dialectos, de nuevos idiomas, de nuevas lenguas. Alejamiento de poblaciones (poblaciones),⁶⁰ de climas; trocamientos de formas y de intonaciones, renden diferentes y distintos [1924: distintos] los lenguajes de un mismo pueblo, de un mismo país [1924: país]. La lucha por la existencia empeza entonces [1924: ahora], la lucha lingüística. De estos lenguajes, es aquel que da probas de más vitalidad, de mas virtud, de un mejor y elegante asiento, que parviene a predominar y a adquerir la soberanidad literaria y lingüística.

Ariba también que algunos de estos dialectos resisten, coexisten y dominan en centros y miliós [1924: medios] diferentes; es la época de la feudalidad lingüística. Como en Italia, en Francia como [1924: y] en todo otro país [1924: país], existe también en España, como lo reconoce se'[ñor] Estrugo,⁶¹ una infinidad de dialectos que se hacen la guerra en despecho de sus origen común, de sus visible semejanza. El caso del francés, del italiano, del portugués y del español él mismo [1924: ellos mismos], que son lenguas hermanas, neo-latinas, es muy significativo [1924: significativo]. Sus estudio mete a luz la grandor de sus semejanza. Esto no impidió portanto [1924: por tanto] que ellas devinieran lo que son: lenguas distintas [1924: distintas] y independientes.

Tal es a mis ojos el caso del judeo-español. Su parentez con el castellano es grande; su semejanza es tan viva que es [1924: sería] menester ser ciego por no verla. Portanto [1924: por tanto] y malgrado todo, él no es más el mismo; él es un otro. Estos dos lenguajes se acercan, se asemejan, sí; pero esta semejanza no es que aquella [1924: aquella] de dos seres estrechamente aparentados, la que remarcan entre una madre y una hija [1924: una hija y una madre]. Influenzas de países [1924: centros] y de climas, de pueblos y de intonaciones, de espacio y de tiempo, producieron trocamientos tan profundos que poco a poco ellas se alejan, se desfiguran, se desconocen. El espacio que aparta ya estos dos viejos parientes es considerable. Él es bastante por atirar la atención de aqueos que queran ver en ellos el mismo personaje.

Hablando de algunos brajes en judeo-español mercados en Conspla por el director de la Escuela [1924: Escuela] Diplomática de Madrid,⁶² el se'[ñor] Pulido, en su hermosa obra “Españoles sin patria”,⁶³ se expresa a este sujeto así:

“Estaban las tres impresas [1924: las tres (obras) impresas (estampadas)] en letras rabínicas y las dos últimas redactadas en idioma tal que de él decía [1924: decía]:⁶⁴ ‘Si se debe llamar español se aparta mucho [1924: muncho] del castellano’. Con este motivo refería que en la capital [1924: capitala] turca y sus enmediaciones, había más

⁶⁰ In the 1924 edition, parenthetic (*poblaciones*) is omitted.

⁶¹ In the 1924 edition the phrase *reconoce se'[ñor] Estrugo* is omitted.

⁶² The director of the Spanish Escuela Diplomática referred to was Pedro Felipe Monlau (Pulido, 84).

⁶³ The reference is to Pulido (84-85).

⁶⁴ In the 1924 edition, after *decía* appears: (*el se'[ñor] Pulido rapporta aquí la opinión del ilustre escritor don Guzmán*). The reference is to the Spanish man of letters, Juan Pérez de Guzmán y Gallo (1841-1928).

de 40 mil judeíos [1924: judeíos] que hablaban dicha lingua importada de España, dialecto castillano poco o nada conocido en nuestra península”.

Un chico estudio comparativo a este sujeto servirá a hacer resaltar más visiblemente el espacio que aparta ya estas dos lenguas parientes.⁶⁵

La letra *b* castillana,⁶⁶ en muchas palabras, el judeo-español la rende más floja y él pronuncia [v] ('ב').⁶⁷

castillano	judeo-español
palabra	palabra
haber ⁶⁸	haber ⁶⁹
libro	libro
trabajo	trabajo
labio	labio
pueblo	pueblo
pobre	pobre
cebada	cebada
sobre	sobre
obra	obra
gobiernos	gobiernos
etc[étera]	etc[étera]

Este fenómeno se produce en un senso (sentido) contrario: en castillano dicen *yivir*,⁷⁰ el judeo-español decimos *yivir*.

⁶⁵ The 1924 version omits the entire “chico estudio comparativo”, which begins with this sentence and continues through that beginning “Estas tornuras y maneras de hablar”.

⁶⁶ Here Franco wrote the letter *b*, and in the following paragraphs, the letters *s*, *j* and *h*, in the Latin alphabet.

⁶⁷ Franco obviously believed that Castilian written was always pronounced [b], corresponding to in French and Italian. In the following tables we will use the distinction , *v* / *b*, *v*

⁶⁸ The character *h* denotes a consonantal *he* (הָ) in Franco’s text, e.g., *הַאֲבִיר*.

⁶⁹ Spelled *אֲבִיר*.

⁷⁰ Franco was unaware that, in some phonological environments, Castilian <v> was realized as [b], and that in certain words having <v> in the modern language, Old Castilian had alternate , realized as [b], e.g., <bivir>.

La letra *s* castillana el judeo-español la pronuncia [z] (↑):⁷¹

castillano	judeo-español
vacío	<u>y</u> acío
caso	caśo
casar	caśar
casa etc[étera]	caśa etc[étera]

Y la letra *j* el castillano la pronuncia como *h* aspirada:⁷²

castillano	judeo-español
judío	judío
caja	caja
gente	gente
prójimo etc[étera]	prójimo ⁷³ etc[étera]

La letra *h* castillana la pronunciamos como *f*.⁷⁴

castillano	judeo-español
hervor ⁷⁵	fervor
hada	fada
herida, ⁷⁶ etc[étera]	feriða, etc[étera]

Cierto, esto es cuestión de puro dialectismo.

Y aún una infinidad de palabras diferentemente pronunciadas. Raportaré algunas:

⁷¹ Here Franco evidently meant modern Castilian <s> and <c>. He was apparently unaware that <c> was used in modern <vacío>, and <z> in medieval <vazío>, and that medieval intervocalic <s> was realized as voiced [z].

⁷² Here the reference is obviously to modern Spanish; Franco was probably unaware of the [dʒ/ʒ] realization of <j> in the medieval language, or of the fact that modern <j> reflected medieval <x> [ʃ], as in <caxa> ['kaʃa].

⁷³ The pronunciation ['proksimo] is meant.

⁷⁴ Franco was probably unaware that modern Spanish word-initial <h> often reflected medieval <f>.

⁷⁵ The Hebrew letter נ (*he*) is used to denote Castilian <h>, e.g., הירב'וֹר.

⁷⁶ This word is spelled without initial *he*: אירידה.

castillano	judeo-español
donde	onde
dentro	dientro
piensar ⁷⁷	pensar
ataque	ataco
fijar	fixar ⁷⁹
ignorancia	ignoranza
ciudad	civdað
ciudadanos	civdaðinos
ante	delantre
causa ⁷⁸	cavsa
escuela	escola
gasto	gaste
enseñanza	enseñamiento
bajo	baþo
viuda	yivda
juntar	ajuntar
discípulos, etc[étera]	dičiplos, etc[étera]

Muchas,⁸⁰ numeroñas son las palabras y expresiones que no empleamos del todo:

castillano	judeo-español
acaso	por haþardo
siquiera	al menos
cuyo	el cual, del cual
hacia ⁸¹	verso
datos	informaciones
acerca	concernante
ambos	de dos

En castillano, cuando queren hablar de una cosa que apartiene a muchas [1924: muchas] personas, dién: *su cosa*, mientras que nosotros decímos: *sus cosa*.

Lo que distingüe [1924: distingue] nuestro dialecto del castillano no son solo las palabras y las expresiones; hay también las tornuras o si quiere los ‘giros’ de las frases: “Con razón se los llama (judeo-español: los llaman) apóstolos”.

⁷⁷ The matter of vowel-breaking in the Castilian verb was unclear to Franco; he evidently assumed that since Castilian *piensa* corresponded to Judezmo *pensa*, the Castilian infinitive was *piensar*.

⁷⁸ The Hebrew-letter transcription of modern Castilian <causa> as חָזָק (i.e., ['kauza]) is another example of Franco's interpreting Spanish orthography under the influence of French and Italian.

⁷⁹ Hebrew-letter פִּיקְסָר ([fik'sar]).

⁸⁰ In the 1924 version the word *muchas* is omitted.

⁸¹ Spelled הַאֲסִיָּה.

“No pueden sustraerse (judeo-español: sustraersen) los gobiernos (judeo-español: gobernos) a (judeo-español: una) empresa tan razonable como la de atender (judeo-español: como aquela de hacer atención) a estas energías”.

“Ya en nuestro libro anterior”.

“Lo mismo el czar que el príncipe Mirski, a quien [sic] se confió la cartera, manifestaron sus propósitos de ampliar la vida y mejorar la suerte de los desdichados israelitas”.

En nuestro judeo-español esto quiere decir:

“El czar él mismo y el príncipe Mirski, al cual fue confiado el portafolio del ministerio, manifestaron sus intenciones de dar un poco más de riflo a la vida y de amejar la suerte de los desdichados israelitas”.

Estas tornuras y maneras de hablar tienen todo lo que quiere de castellano más nada de judeo-español.

El judeo-español sigue dunque su evolución natural. Nada podría [1924: podrá] detornarlo de su andadura evolutiva; y los esfuerzos [1924: y los esfuerzos que hacen] por acercarlo de su origen, del castellano, me parecen estériles. Es el río que va siempre adelante y que no arribarán jamás [1924: nunca] a hacerlo tornar a su manadero. El uso consagrado es el árbitro soberano en la circunstancia. Es por esto que las gramáticas se arastan [1924: adaptan] al uso, a la lingua hablada, y nunca la lingua a las gramáticas. Todos sus buenos esfuerzos a escribir siempre, por ejemplo, *esfuerzos, escuela, causa, ciudad, judio, etc[éter]*, no nos impidieron a que nosotros continuáramos a ir pronunciando: *esfuerzo, escola, causa, ciudad, judio, etc[éter]* [1924: echét[era]]; como ninguna fuerza en el mundo⁸² podría decidirnos a decir: *caja, dejar, gente, hacer*,⁸³ *casa*, en lugar de: *caja, dejar, gente, hacer, casa, etc[éter]* [1924: echét[era]].

Cierto, el judeo-español tuvo a sufrir las influencias del ambiente que lo entorna y que lo alejarán⁸⁴ de más en más de su origen primera. No hay lingua en el mundo, mismo entre las más ricas, las más poderosas,⁸⁵ que haiga podido sustraerse a tales influencias. Como lo reconoce su inteligente colaborador, el castellano él mismo no mancó de relevar (someter) [1924: rellevar], entre otras, la influencia de la civilización judeo-‘árabe’ [1924: judeo ‘árabe’],⁸⁶ y numerosas son las palabras y las expresiones de estas dos lenguas-hermanas,⁸⁷ que enriquecieron su vocabulario. Mismo la “alcuña” [1924: “alcurnia”] que emplea señor Estrugo es de origen ‘árabe’: الكتبة alcuña, tal que nosotros, en nuestro judeo-español [1924: judeo español], usamos emplearla por designar el nombre de familia. Esta adaptación del judeo-español es tan justa que aquella del castellano me parece irracional y sobre todo⁸⁸ cacofónica.

⁸² In the 1923 version the word *mundo* is missing; it is added in the 1924 text.

⁸³ Spelled האניר.

⁸⁴ In the 1923 version the word order is erroneous: *y que lo entorna alejarán*.

⁸⁵ In the 1924 text, *entre* appears before *las mas poderosas*.

⁸⁶ In both texts the segment ‘árabe’ is spelled with initial ‘ayin (ע): ערָבָה.

⁸⁷ 1924: *linguas hermanas*; i.e., Arabic and Hebrew.

⁸⁸ In the 1924 version the phrase *y sobre todo* is omitted.

Podría tolerar hasta un cierto grado la influencia de las lenguas con généricas como el francés y el italiano, que son de la [1924: son de] misma esencia que nuestro dialecto; pero no hay quien sea favorable al empleo de barbarismos ajenos como *carištirear* [1924: *carištrear*], *candirrear*, *embatacar*, que solo la clasa ordinaria y inculta usa. No vemos ningún inconveniente a que tengan recurso a la lingua de origen,⁸⁹ al castillano, todas las veces que el menester se hará sentir, por emprestar los vocablos [1924: vocabolos] que mancan en nuestro dialecto, tal que Usted lo ha hecho hasta ahora; como no vemos igualmente⁹⁰ ningún inconveniente a que nosotros continuemos a hacer *haraganear* de *haragán*, *ganancioso* de *ganar*, pues que aquí la lingua cultiva su dominio natural, si ayebra de su propio manadero, pues que ella vive de su propia vida.

El judeo-español [1924: judeo español] es el barómetro de nuestro intelecto, el espejo de nuestra civilización. No creigo que podrían [1924: podrán] acusar de infidelidad este entérpreta que dice lo que somos, este artista que nos pinta quizás muy admirablemente.

El judeo-español es nuestra obra. Sus imperfecciones, sus desfiguramientos, nos reviven. Ellos nos pertenecen cumplidamente. Él manca, el povero, de doctrina [1924: doctrina] y de educación. Es a nosotros a formarlo, a bien elevarlo, porque él devenga el agente de progreso que se desea.

El judeo-español es la obra del tiempo y de las circunstancias, tal que ha sido la suerte de todos los idiomas. Los deliamientos que lo caracterizan son por ansí decir incancelables. Non sabrían dunque tan fácilmente – de la tarde a la mañana, como dicen – embarcar la obra de tantos siglos, derocar un edificio tan histórico; porque no es facil arancar la lingua a un pueblo entero. Hec por cualo yo considero esteril este movimiento que tiende a castillaniar nuestro dialecto. Yo no veo [1924: veo] ahí que un esfuerzo de imaginación que el avenir (porvenir) desmentirá.

Rodes Marzo 1923

Hiázquía Franco

APPENDIX B (= Franco 1924, 91-96)

Chelebí Mošón

Chelebí Mošón, esta figura simpática, este corazón generoso, este hombre un tiempo alegre, dichooso, es agora triste, pensable.

Un tiempo, coreedor de su oficio cerca un “franco”, un europeo, ganando muncho y facilmente, gastando muncho y corientemente, Chelebí Mošón era un notable de la comunidad, un señor estimado y entornado de muchos, tanto de pobres que de paraísitas (dalcaques) que gozaban anchamente de sus liberalidades. Y el šamáš, y el hažán, y el pariente y el vecino, todos tenían el ojo sobre él, porque cuando pasando

⁸⁹ In the 1924 version the phrase *a la lingua de origen* is omitted.

⁹⁰ In the 1924 version, *igualmente* is omitted.

por la calle, saliendo de cašá o de la quehilá, él les espandiera su mano āgeneroša por darles lo que “enveluntaba su buen corazón”.

En su familia, en el seno de su vida íntima, el entreno era el mismo. Él vivía como un príncipe: el mejor pešcado, el más caro plato, adornaban de ordinario su meſá, sobre todo las noches de šabat y de fiesta. Su mujer, sus hijas siguiendo fielmente la moda, se daban al luxo el más rafinado, indicando onde ellas un gusto excesivo, la coquetería.

Las coſas no son durables en este bajo mundo. Ellas son al contrario sujetos a transformación. El mažal de Chelebí Mošón había tornado, su destino preſentaba agora una faž poco brillante:

El “franco” al cual él servía desde muchos años venía de suspender sus pagamientos, de hačer falita. Nuestro chelebí se topó un buen día de jueves sin hecho y sin provecho y lo que es peor, sin de cinco en el pecho. Todo lo ganado él lo había aregado sin pensar al avenir, al día en el cual su destino venía de ronjarse tan inesperadamente.

Esta novedad poco esperada fue un terrible golpe por nuestro chelebí, que desesperado en primero, no mancó a consolarse en esperando reušir con un otro “franco”, gracia a su título de “coredor honesto”, único resto de su trabajo pasado.

Manteniéndose con estas iluſiones, Chelebí Mošón esperaba ganarse la gracia de un otro mercader que el bušcaba, ¡guay! en vano, ellos siendo raros. Ahora él era tormentado por el remorso de su vida neglijada, de su imprudente pasado y él se juraba agora ma muy tadre ¡guay! de no dejarlo más fuyir si un otro pájaro cayía en sus manos.

Entretanto él debía vivir, su familia reclamaba pan. De otra parte él era obligado, por diñidad, por no romper de en medio el delicado cristal que llaman “amor propio” de no mancar a sus hábitudes, de dar a sus conocidos que no mancaban de atagantarlo.

Cualo no hače el menester! Reducido a la miſeria, él vendía agora objetos de valor, móbiles supérfluos de su cašá, por abastecer a los menesteres urgentes de la familia. Los días, los meſes pasaban y Chelebí Mošón no parvenía aínda a nada. Agora había debido pasar de los objetos supérfluos a los menesterosos. Él vendía todo, todo lo que él podía ... a medio precio. ¡Aj! Qué tristeza, qué regreto no resentía él cuando mandaba, pedazo a pedazo, el móble de su cašá, y qué cuidos y qué acavidos no debía él tomar por que su većina, su hija mismo, no lo viera, no lo supiera. Él vía auncuando ahí un remedio por las horas, la salvación. ¿Y si esto también venía a mancar?

* * *

Esto también aribó. No había restado más nada para vender, para vivir. Agora él era pobre, literalmente pobre, sin el soldo. Y era semanas de Pésah, la seſón de los gastos. ¡Se diče! Calzados para sus hijos, fostán para sus hijas, chapeo para su mujer y ... mašá para Pésah. Todo muy menesteroso, indispensable. Y decir que Chelebí Mošón no disponía de ninguna suma, que no tenía ni un soldo en su haldiquera, es

describir lo mas elocuentemente posible la ansia, la grande estrechura dentro la cual se topaba agora nuestro gênero, ma imprudente amigo.

Él era dunqüe hundido en sus penserios. Él pensaba agora a su pasado, al tiempo de su riqueza onde él aregaba su moneda con puñados. Todos los recuerdos de su vida pasaban en revista bajo su espírito enquieto. Un momento él había olvidado y sus miseras, y los embarazos del tiempo presente. Él fue súbito despertado por el bruido de un hombre que venía a verlo. Era un pobre cercano que, iñorando la situación presente de nuestro chelebí, venía por recorer a su corazón gênero. Fue un momento crítico, terrible este en el cual Chelebí Mošón declaró el azo al garón:

—¡Pardon! No tengo.

Era por la primera vez en su vida que él venía de proferir esta palabra: ¡Non! Su mano habituada a dar siempre, su boca se había auñado a decir instinctivamente: Sí.

“Sí”, “Non”. Estos dos yerbos tan pequeños describen en veçes un mundo de verdades. Ellos exprimen laconicamente la ventura y la desdicha, la esperanza y el desespero.

Y Chelebí Mošón, que había topado la ventura en el primero, vía agora su desdicha en el segundo. Y mientras que hundido en la tristeza de estos penserios él creó oír estos gímidos:

—Papá, mis calzados!

—Onde está el fostán?

—Y la maşá!

Agora él era en el más escuro de los desesperos.

* * *

Justo en aquel momento Roşa, su hija, la inteligente Roşa, se acercó de él, la sonriña en los labios.

—Papá, le dijo ella, guádrame, le rogo, estas diez lirás.

El pobre de Chelebí Mošón fue esmovido hasta en sus entrañas delante esta oferta hecha tan noblemente por su hija, este pedazo de su alma, y sus dos miradas se encontraron, dos miradas expresivas, reconocientes, afeccionoñas.

—¿De donde te vino esta moneda, mi hija?

—Es mi ganado, papá, el fruto de mi lazdrado.

Habiendo entendido la situación crítica de su desfortunado padre, la inteligente hija había secretamente laborado; ella se había hecho encoladera (colaǵía).

Profundamente movido por la oferta de su hija, Chelebí Mošón no pudo más reñir y, las lágrimas desbrochando de sus ojos empezaron a corer como finos diamantes sobre su barba frescamente emplateada. Y lleno de querencia y de reconocencia, él abrazó su hija, su inteligente Roşa, que había de salvarle el honor, la vida.

Él tenía agora moneda por mercar todo lo menesteroso para la fiesta de Pésah, su hija conocía un oficio, Chelebí Mošón era salvado.

“El fantaśista”

Works cited

- Almosnino, Moše. *Séfer hanhagat haḥayim o Régimiento de la vida*. Salonika, 1564.
- Amador de los Ríos, José. *Estudios históricos, políticos y literarios sobre los judíos de España*, Madrid: M. Díaz & Co, 1848.
- Argüete, Yišhac bejar Šemaryá. *Séfer me'am lo'ež hélec rišón šel séfer debarim*. Constantinople, 1773.
- Bunis, David M. "The Maskilic Roots of Journalist David Fresco's Antagonism Toward Judezmo." In Richard Wittmann & Christoph Herzog eds., "*Istanbul – Kushta – Constantinople*": *Diversity of Identities and Personal Narratives in the Ottoman Capital (1830–1900)*. London: Routledge. forthcoming.
- . "Salonika: World Center of Popular Judezmism." In Raphael Gatenio ed., *Judeo Espaniol: A Jewish Language in Search of Its People*. Thessaloniki: Ets Ahaim Foundation, 2004, 75-84.
- . "Echoes of Yiddishism in Judezmism," *Jews and Slavs* 22, ed. Wolf Moskovich. Jerusalem-Kyiv: The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 2010, 232-50.
- . "The Changing Faces of Sephardic Identity as Reflected in Judezmo Sources," *Neue Romania* 40 (2011a): 47-75.
- . "Judezmo Glossaries and Dictionaries by Native Speakers and the Language Ideologies behind Them". In Winfried Busse & Michael Studemund-Halevy eds., *Lexicología y lexicografía judeoespañolas*. Bern: Peter Lang, 2011b, 353-446.
- . "A Doctrine of Popular Judezmism as Extrapolated from the Judezmo Press, c. 1845–1948." In Rena Molho, Hilary Pomeroy & Elena Romero eds., *Satirical Texts in Judeo-Spanish By and About the Jews of Thessaloniki*. Thessaloniki: Ets Ahaim Foundation, 2011c, 244-68.
- Cofino, Moše Šemuel. *Pieza de Ya'acob Abinu con sus hijos*. Bucharest, 1862.
- Çolak, Melek. "Milas Yahudileri ve Eğitim: Talmud Tora'dan Alliance Israelite Universelle'e (1851-1934)," *Hacettepe Üniversitesi Edebiyat Fakültesi Dergisi* 21:1 (2004): 231-47.
- Franco, Hizkia M. "La cuestión del judeo-español," *El Tiempo* 51:53 (1923): 428-29.
- . *Impresiones y reflexiones*. Izmir: Meşrutiyet, 1924.
- . *Martyrs juifs de Rhodes et de Cos*, Elisabethville: Imbelco, 1952. English tr.: Joseph Franco tr. *The Jewish Martyrs of Rhodes and Cos*. Harare, Zimbabwe: HarperCollins, 1994.
- Gaon, Moše David. *Yehudé hamízrah beereš Yisrael*, vol. 2, Jerusalem, 1938.
- . *Ha'itonut beladino: bibliografiya – A Bibliography of the Judeo-Spanish (Ladino) Press*. Jerusalem: Ben-Zvi Institute & Jewish National and University Library, 1965.
- Hassán, Iacob M. "Transcripción normalizada de textos judeoespañoles," *Estudios Sefardíes* 1 (1978): 147-50.

- Julí, Yaacob ben Majir. *Séfer me ‘am lo ‘éz hélec rišón ... en ladino ... séfer berešit*. Constantinople, 1730.
- Levi, Avner. “Jewish Newspapers in Izmir,” *Pe ‘amim* 12 (1982): 87-104 (in Hebrew).
- Lévy, Isaac Jack, ed. *And the World Stood Silent: Sephardic Poetry of the Holocaust*, Champaign, Ill.: University of Illinois Press, 1999.
- Palachi, Abraham. *Séfer vehojáh Abraham*. Izmir, 1877. (1st ed., Salonika 1853, 1862).
- Pulido y Fernández, Ángel. *Intereses nacionales: Españoles sin patria y la raza sefardí*. Madrid: E. Teodoro, 1905.
- Quintana Rodríguez, Aldina. *Geografía lingüística del judeoespañol: Estudio sincrónico y diacrónico*. Bern: Peter Lang, 2006.
- Romero, Elena. *La creación literaria en lengua sefardí*. Madrid: Mapfre, 1992.
- ed. *Andanzas y prodigios de Ben-Sirá*. Madrid: CSIC, 2001.
- Šibhé Bá’al Šem Tob. Anon tr. Belgrade, 1852.
- Stein, Sarah Abrevaya. *Making Jews Modern: The Yiddish and Ladino Press in the Russian and Ottoman Empires*, Bloomington, Ind.: Indiana University Press, 2004.
- Wisse, Ruth R. *A Shtetl and Other Yiddish Novellas*, Detroit: Wayne State University, 1986.